Approved For Release 2001/03/07: CIA-RDP91-009

LONDON DAILY TELEGRAPH 29 DECEMBER 1980

COMPARISONS are already being drawmin Washington between the appointment of Mir William J. Casey as GLA director under the Reagan Administration and the choice of Mr. John McCone for the same role under the Ken-

nedy Administration. Eth men are shrewd non-professionals although Mr. Caser served with distinction in the Office of Strategic Ser-vices and is remembered with affection by many war intimes colleagues in London) whose instinct may prove a surer guide to policy than the conventional wisdoms of the established bureaucracy. Mr McCone's instinct told him that Khrushcheve had sec-reted missiles in Cuba-when - CIAsanalysts were still unconvinced. Similarly, or Mr Casey is unlikely to pay overmuch respect to estimates from the analytical side of the CIA — the National Foreign Assessments Centre (NFAC)—suggesting that the motivation for the Soviet military build-up is essentially defensive his instinct tells him otherwise....

According to sources inside Mc Reagan's CIA transition team, a major overhaul of NFAC is expected to be one of the first consequences of Mr Casey's appointment. The present head, of NFAC, Mc Bruce Clark, is expected to. be replaced.

One leading contender to take his place, is Mr. George Carver, and former CIA based at the Georgetown Centre for Strategic and International Studies, who serves on Mr Reagan's translation teams and the made sition / team (and / has - made himself a subtle and engaging : commentator : on intelligence matters, ordanie a street

In a parallel development, the Defence Intelligence Agency (DFA) and the other com-ponents of Pentagon Intelligence are likely to be given a larger role in the shaping of national estimates; their predictive record is generally recognised to have been much better than that of NFAC.

Mr Casey and his team are likely to move slowly, avoid-ing radical-staffing changes at Langley; the view in the ample, whether a defector or a double agent (whose case-has already been dangerously handlers may be intensely demoral new parts of the controlled and the controlled areas are already been dangerously handlers may be intensely demoral new parts of the controlled areas are already been dangerously handlers may be intensely telligence services place are controlled and the controlled areas are already been dangerously made and the controlled areas are already been dangerously made and the controlled areas are already been dangerously made areas are already becomes a construction of the controlled areas are already been dangerously made areas are already becomes a controlled areas are already becomes are already becomes are already becomes a controlled areas are already because are already because are already because are already becomes are already because are already b

THE INTELLIGENCE WA V ROBERT MOSS

However, the new CIA direct. The breakdows of tons likely to want to reengage, the services of some of, the senior people, who were fired, or pressured into premature retirement under Admiral/Stansfield/Turner or his no-less controversial pre-, decessor, Mr. William Colby, In addition to analysis, the other component of CIA activities that is likely to be subjected to most rigorous scrutiny is counter-intelli-

There is widespread concern that the counter-intelligence (I) staff was fatally weakened in 1974, when Mr Colby managed to tengineer the ouster of Mr James Jesus Angleton, for two decades the agency's CI chief.

The nominal cause of Mr Angelton's removal was the Press leak of his involvement in a programme of domestic mail intercepts. It was notmade clear at the time that this, programme a had been. initiated as early as 1953 with full presidential authority; and that it has resulted in the discovery of; an important East German "illegal" as well as of contacts between prominent Congressional figures and the Soviet ares and the control of the control K.G.B.

Staff cuts

With Mr Angleton's fall, the powers of the centralised CIA staff were radically reduced; and the security of the department's own filesmincluding sensitive studies of allied secret services was lessened, giving rise to con-green that CIA operations, and allied secrets, had be come more; vulnerable to Soviet detection and penetration.

Counter-intelligence is rarely popular within a secret service, since the CIA role is to play the institutional devil's advocate, questioning, for ex-

(* plant : militaria worth

penetration and by its antagonis Mr.: Angletomais who have been the Reagan to on-the CI rethe next admir his advice is many

weighed very seriously, not least because of the close, relationship of trust that Mr. Angleton established in the conduct intelligence and CI past with many friendly operations.

Secret services, including the The present CIA, largely Israelis question of CI action and paramilitary the whole question of CI action and paramilitary to the whole question as operations (none of which

organisation is taken up in a valuable collection of papers, edited by Dr Roy: Godson, that wilk be published early in next year by the Washington based Consortium for the based consormer for the Study of Intelligence as part of a series entitled "Intelligence Requirements for the 1980s."

Contributors to the new volume, entitled "Counter-Intelligence and intelligence as part of the part of the intelligence as part of the intelligence

entitled Counter-Intelligence," include sentor presentand former CIA and DIA
officials
Two of the most provocative
papers in the book are by Mr
Norman L. Smith and Mr

i. DonovacePratt, who were formerly (respectively) chief of tor on the CIA's counter led by the KGB that was intelligence staff.

intelligence staff.

Mr. Smith argues that it is necessary to re-establish a centralised -CI staff with a wide purview, not only to en-sure the security of the GCIA's intelligence-collection and covert action operations, but to undertake its own offensive double agent and deception activities against the KGB.

the KGB.

He argues the very special qualifications required to make a successful CI specialist—not only in terms of intellectual ability, but in terms of familiarity with hundreds of individual cases. the creation of a fully claudestine service, outside the present CIA structure, to

operations (none of which, are likely to remain secret indefinitely, or perhaps even for very long) would remain to deflect interest and scan-dal away from the clandestine service: This is one of the many current

proposals for the restructur-ing of the U.S. intelligence community that will be reaching Mr Casey's desk. This earl Within the narrower area of CI itself Mr Casey will be urged by some members of the CIA transition team to re-initiate the review of Soviet deception operations—
especially: those involving double agents in New York who may have been control aborted by the 1974 purze

ב בנו הדדו מס

for which no computerised adata bank can substitute.

STATINTL

Approved For Release 2001/03/07 : CIA-RDP91-00901R000500080014-3

TIME

29 December 1980



On the Record

William E. Colby, 59, former CIA director, repenting the agency's use of organized-crime figures in an early '60s Castro assassination plot: "You couldn't find a more inept crowd than the Mafia."



William E. Colby
Different defense posture cited

Ex-CIA chief questions need to match Soviet arms

Associated Press

WASHINGTON — Because it has different strategic needs than the Soviet Union, the United States need not seek point-for-point equivalence with the Soviet military, former CIA director William E. Colby said yesterday.

day.

"We don't have to match them on everything," said Colby. "Forces and weaponry must be chosen (carefully) and the temptation avoided to mirror-image the Soviets."

Colby cited several areas where, he said, it would be foolish for the United States to attempt to match Soviet military deployment.

He said the U.S. Navy did not need a fleet of offensive submarines compa-

rable to the Soviets because "the American need is for effective antisubmarine warfare for our convoys to Europe and Japan."

And the United States does not need a nuclear first-strike capability because cruise missiles, the MX missile and other second-strike weapons would provide the necessary military deterrence, Colby said.

Speaking at a seminar on foreign policy issues sponsored by the American Enterprise Institute, Colby suggested that U.S. strength in the decade would depend as heavily on the vitality of its economic base and the vigor of its political leadership as the preparedness of its armed services.

Notes on People

Colby Defends C.I.A., but Also Concedes Its Flaws

Assuring his audience that he was 'not a cloak-and-dagger man showing only the cloak and not the dagger," William E. Colby, the former Director of Central Intelligence, lifted the cloak a bit Monday night at a free-wheeling 'dialogue meeting' of the Humanist Society of Metropolitan New York.

10

According to an infiltrator at the meeting, in a not-so-secret upper room at Rosoff's restaurant, Mr. Colby was both candid and disarming as he defended the need for a secret intelligence agency. He fielded spirited challenges from such civil libertarians as Prof. Paul Lehmann of the Princeton Theological Seminary and Algernon D. Black, head of the Ethical Culture Society.

When Corliss Lamont, the philosopher, recalled that he had won both damages and an apology after suing

the C.I.A. for opening mail he had sent his wife from Moscow, Mr. Colby said that the practice had stopped and that he had been against it all along.

Conceding that "we did a lot of things wrong," Mr. Colby provided reassurance of sorts when he said the "stupidest" thing the C.I.A. had ever done was to enlist organized-crime figures in an effort to kill Cuba's President Fidel Castro. "You couldn't find a more inept crowd than the Mafia," he said.